

Supreme Court of Florida

MONDAY, FEBRUARY 25, 2019

CASE NO.: SC18-1976

Lower Tribunal No(s):
2019-70,305 (11K-MDR)

IN RE: PETITION FOR DISCIPLINARY REVOCATION OF
DAVID PHILIPS

The uncontested petition for disciplinary revocation, as provided by Rule 3-7.12, Rules Regulating The Florida Bar, with leave to seek readmission after five years, is granted subject to the continuing jurisdiction of this Court. *See Florida Bar v. Ross*, 732 So. 2d 1037, 1040-42 (Fla. 1998). Disciplinary revocation is tantamount to disbarment. *Florida Bar v. Hale*, 762 So. 2d 515 (Fla. 2000). Petitioner agreed to cease the practice of law within thirty days of tendering the petition for disciplinary revocation; therefore the disciplinary revocation shall be effective immediately. Petitioner shall fully comply with Rule Regulating the Florida Bar 3-5.1(h).

Judgment is entered for The Florida Bar, 651 East Jefferson Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2300, for recovery of costs from David Philips in the amount of \$4,659.26, for which sum let execution issue.

CASE NO.: SC18-1976
Page Two

Not final until time expires to file motion for rehearing, and if filed, determined. The filing of a motion for rehearing shall not alter the effective date of this revocation. As with disbarment, in seeking readmission to The Florida Bar, petitioner "may be admitted again only upon full compliance with the rules and regulations governing admission to the bar." R. Regulating Fla. Bar 3-7.10(n).

CANADY, C.J., and POLSTON, LABARGA, LAWSON, LAGOA, LUCK, and MUÑIZ, JJ., concur.

A True Copy
Test:



John A. Tomasino
Clerk, Supreme Court



ca
Served:

ARLENE KALISH SANKEL
DAVID PHILIPS
ADRIA E. QUINTELA