

# Supreme Court of Florida

THURSDAY, OCTOBER 10, 2019

**CASE NO.: SC19-1357**  
Lower Tribunal No(s):  
2020-50,076(15F-FDR)

IN RE: PETITION FOR DISCIPLINARY REVOCATION OF  
BRIAN JAY GLICK

---

The uncontested petition for disciplinary revocation, as provided by Rule 3-7.12, Rules Regulating the Florida Bar, with leave to seek readmission after five years, is granted subject to the continuing jurisdiction of this Court. *See Florida Bar v. Ross*, 732 So. 2d 1037, 1040-42 (Fla. 1998). Disciplinary revocation is tantamount to disbarment. *Florida Bar v. Hale*, 762 So. 2d 515 (Fla. 2000). Petitioner is currently suspended; therefore the disciplinary revocation shall be effective immediately. Petitioner shall fully comply with Rule Regulating the Florida Bar 3-5.1(h).

Judgment is entered for The Florida Bar, 651 East Jefferson Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2300, for recovery of costs from Brian Jay Glick in the amount of \$11,885.85, for which sum let execution issue.

Not final until time expires to file motion for rehearing, and if filed, determined. The filing of a motion for rehearing shall not alter the effective date

CASE NO.: SC19-1357


Page Two

of this revocation. As with disbarment, in seeking readmission to The Florida Bar, petitioner "may be admitted again only upon full compliance with the rules and regulations governing admission to the bar." R. Regulating Fla. Bar 3-7.10(n).

CANADY, C.J., and POLSTON, LABARGA, LAWSON, LAGOA, LUCK, and MUÑIZ, JJ., concur.

A True Copy

Test:



---

John A. Tomasino  
Clerk, Supreme Court



as

Served:

MICHAEL DAVID SOIFER  
BRIAN JAY GLICK  
PATRICIA ANN TORO SAVITZ